1	The Honorable John C. Coughenour United States District Court Judge
2	The Honorable Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge
3	
4	
5	
67	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
8	RODNEY WHEELER,)
9) Plaintiff,) No. 2:19-cv-01410-JCC-MAT
10	vs.) DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
11) RECONSIDER TRIAL DATE ELEANOR BROGGI, et al,
12	Defendants.) Noted for March 25, 2021
13)
14	I. <u>IDENTITY OF PARTY AND RELIEF REQUESTED</u>
15	Defendants King County and Dets. Eleanor Broggi and Matthew Olmstead request that this
16	Court reconsider the Minute Order setting a new trial date in this matter for October 25, 2021. One
17	of the defendants, Det. Eleanor Broggi, will be out of the country from November 1, 2021 to
18	November 21, 2021, and would be unable to be present during the trial with the current date. The
19	defendants request the trial date be moved four weeks to November 22, 2021.
20	II. <u>FACTS RELEVANT TO MOTION TO RECONSIDER</u>
21	This trial was scheduled for May 24, 2021. Declaration of Carla Carlstrom, ¶ 2. Due to
22	Covid 19 and the closure of the courts, this Court notified the parties on March 19, 2021 that the
23	Court will not be holding in-person jury trials until at least July and asked the parties to submit a
	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO RECONSIDER TRIAL DATE - 1 Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorner CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue

500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-0430 Fax (206) 296-8819 1

3

5

67

8

9 10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

proposed new trial date. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 3. After conferring, the parties submitted a proposed trial date of September 27, 2021. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 5. On March 25, 2021, this Court issued a Minute Order setting the trial date for October 25, 2021 and alerting the parties that October 25, 2021 was the earliest date available due to the backlog of cases due to Covid 19. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 6.

Det. Broggi, a defendant to this lawsuit, will be out of the country from November 1, 2021 to November 21, 2021. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 7. Counsel have been aware of Det. Broggi's vacation since mid-March. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 7. Det. Broggi recently retired from the Sheriff's Office. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 7. Her trip has already been paid for. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 7. Det. Broggi wishes to be present in the courtroom during the trial as she is a named defendant. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 7. Det. Broggi is also a material witness in the case. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 7.

In choosing September 27, 2021 as the proposed trial date the parties were attempting to account for Det. Broggi's planned trip. Counsel for Defendants have conferred with Plaintiff's counsel, but they are unwilling to join Defendants' request for a new trial date. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 8. Defendants seek a trial date of November 22, 2021 to accommodate Det. Broggi's trip. Decl. of Carlstrom, ¶ 9.

III. <u>LEGAL ARGUMENTS</u>

The decision to grant or deny a requested continuance is within the discretion of the trial court and will not be disturbed on appeal absent a clear abuse of discretion. *U.S. v. Hayes*, 573 F.2d 1111, 1114 (9th Cir. 1978). *United States v. Flynt*, 756 F.2d 1352, 1358 (9th Cir. 1985) sets forth four factors relevant in reviewing a denial of a continuance for abuse of discretion: (1) the requester's diligence in preparing for trial; (2) the likely utility of the continuance, if granted; (3)

the inconvenience to the court and the other side; and (4) prejudice from the denial. *U.S. v. Pope*, 841 F.2d 954, 956 (9th Cir. 1988).

In the present case, all factors weigh in favor of this Court granting Defendants' request for a continuance. The necessity of changing the trial date arose due to Covid-19, not the actions of either party. The need is unrelated to trial readiness but is due to safety protocols necessitated by the pandemic. Defendants are promptly advising this Court of the conflict. A four-week continuance will not cause inconvenience or prejudice to the plaintiff.

Det. Broggi has a right to be present during the trial as she has been sued by Plaintiff. Her testimony is also central to the case. Her absence will negatively affect the defense of this lawsuit.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Defendants respectfully ask this Court to reconsider the trial set of October 25, 2021, and instead set the trial for November 22, 2021, when Det. Broggi is back in the country.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of March, 2021.

DANIEL T. SATTERBERG King County Prosecuting Attorney

s/ Carla B. Carlstrom
ANN M. SUMMERS, WSBA #21509
CARLA B. CARLSTROM, WSBA #27521
Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys
500 Fourth Avenue, Suite 900
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone: 206-296-8820
Attorneys for King County Defendants
ann.summers@kingcounty.gov
allyson.zerba@kingcounty.gov
Carla.Carlstrom@kingcounty.gov

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO RECONSIDER TRIAL DATE - 3

1 **CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on March 25, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing document 3 with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF E-filing System which will send notification of such 4 filing to the following CM/ECF participants: 5 Tiffany M. Cartwright Timothy K. Ford Rebecca Talbott 6 MacDonald Hoague & Bayless 705 Second Avenue, Suite 1500 7 Seattle, WA 98104 tiffanyc@MHB.com 8 TimF@MHB.com RebeccaT@mhb.com 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the 11 foregoing is true and correct. 12 SIGNED this 25th day of March, 2021. 13 14 Legal Secretary 15 King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23